# Report



# **Planning Committee**

Part 1

Date: 6<sup>th</sup> November 2019

Item No: Insert item number here

**Subject Appeal Decisions** 

**Purpose** To inform Members of the outcome of recent appeals

**Author** Head of Regeneration, Investment and Housing

Wards Always, Beechwood, Liswerry, Llanwern,

**Summary** The following planning appeal decisions are reported to help inform future decisions of

Planning Committee

Proposal To accept the appeal decisions as a basis for informing future decisions of the

Planning Committee.

Action by Planning Committee

Timetable Not applicable

This report was prepared without consultation because it is to inform Planning Committee

of appeal decisions already taken.

# **Background**

The reports contained in this schedule provide information on recent appeal decisions.

The purpose of the attached reports is to inform future decision-making. This will help ensure that future decisions benefit the City and its communities by allowing good quality development in the right locations and resisting inappropriate or poor quality development in the wrong locations.

The applicant has a statutory right of appeal against the refusal of permission in most cases. There is no Third Party right of appeal against a decision.

Work is carried out by existing staff and there are no staffing issues. It is sometimes necessary to employ a Barrister to act on the Council's behalf in defending decisions at planning appeals. This cost is met by existing budgets. Where the Planning Committee refuses an application against Officer advice, Members will be required to assist in defending their decision at appeal.

Where applicable as planning considerations, specific issues relating to sustainability and environmental issues, equalities impact and crime prevention impact of each proposed development are addressed in the relevant report in the attached schedule.

#### **Financial Summary**

The cost of defending decisions at appeal is met by existing budgets. Costs can be awarded against the Council at an appeal if the Council has acted unreasonably and/or cannot defend its decisions. Similarly, costs can be awarded in the Council's favour if an appellant has acted unreasonably and/or cannot substantiate their grounds of appeal.

#### **Risks**

The key risk relating to appeal decisions relates to awards of costs against the Council.

An appeal can be lodged by the applicant if planning permission is refused, or if planning permission is granted but conditions are imposed, or against the Council's decision to take formal enforcement action. Costs can be awarded against the Council if decisions cannot be defended as reasonable, or if it behaves unreasonably during the appeal process, for example by not submitting required documents within required timescales. Conversely, costs can be awarded in the Council's favour if the appellant cannot defend their argument or behaves unreasonably.

An appeal can also be lodged by the applicant if the application is not determined within the statutory time period. However, with the type of major development being presented to the Planning Committee, which often requires a Section 106 agreement, it is unlikely that the application will be determined within the statutory time period. Appeals against non-determination are rare due to the further delay in receiving an appeal decision: it is generally quicker for applicants to wait for the Planning Authority to determine the application. Costs could only be awarded against the Council if it is found to have acted unreasonably. Determination of an application would only be delayed for good reason, such as resolving an objection or negotiating improvements or Section 106 contributions, and so the risk of a costs award is low.

Mitigation measures to reduce risk are detailed in the table below. The probability of these risks occurring is considered to be low due to the mitigation measures, however the costs associated with a public inquiry can be very significant. These are infrequent, so the impact is considered to be medium.

Risk	Impact of Risk if it occurs* (H/M/L)	Probability of risk occurring (H/M/L)	What is the Council doing or what has it done to avoid the risk or reduce its effect	Who is responsible for dealing with the risk?
Decisions challenged at appeal and	M	L	Ensure reasons for refusal can be defended at appeal;	Planning Committee
costs awarded against the Council.			Ensure planning conditions imposed meet the tests set out in Circular 016/2014.	Planning Committee
			Provide guidance to Planning Committee regarding relevant material planning considerations, conditions and reasons for refusal.	Development Services Manager and Senior Legal Officer
			Ensure appeal timetables are adhered to.	Planning Officers
Appeal lodged against non-determination, with costs awarded against the Council	M	L	Avoid delaying the determination of applications unreasonably.	Development Services Manager

<sup>\*</sup> Taking account of proposed mitigation measures

#### **Links to Council Policies and Priorities**

Not applicable. This report is to inform Planning Committee of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate and/or Welsh Ministers.

# **Options Available**

To accept the appeal decisions as a basis for informing future decisions of the Planning Committee.

# **Preferred Option and Why**

To accept the appeal decisions as a basis for informing future decisions of the Planning Committee.

#### **Comments of Chief Financial Officer**

In the normal course of events, there should be no specific financial implications arising from the determination of planning applications or enforcement action.

There is always a risk of a planning decision being challenged at appeal. This is especially the case where the Committee makes a decision contrary to the advice of Planning Officers or where in making its decision, the Committee takes into account matters which are not relevant planning considerations. These costs can be very considerable, especially where the planning application concerned is large or complex or the appeal process is likely to be protracted.

Members of the Planning Committee should be mindful that the costs of defending appeals and any award of costs against the Council following a successful appeal must be met by the taxpayers of Newport.

There is no provision in the Council's budget for such costs and as such, compensating savings in services would be required to offset any such costs that were incurred as a result of a successful appeal.

# **Comments of Monitoring Officer**

There are no legal implications other than those referred to in the report or detailed above.

# Staffing Implications: Comments of Head of People and Business Change

Development Management work is undertaken by an in-house team and therefore there are no staffing implications arising from this report. Officer recommendations have been based on adopted planning policy which aligns with the Single Integrated Plan and the Council's Corporate Plan objectives.

#### Local issues

Not applicable. This report is to inform Planning Committee of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate and/or Welsh Ministers.

# **Equalities Impact Assessment and the Equalities Act 2010**

The Equality Act 2010 contains a Public Sector Equality Duty which came into force on 06 April 2011. The Act identifies a number of 'protected characteristics', namely age; disability; gender reassignment; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation; marriage and civil partnership. The new single duty aims to integrate consideration of equality and good relations into the regular business of public authorities. Compliance with the duty is a legal obligation and is intended to result in better informed decision-making and policy development and services that are more effective for users. In exercising its functions, the Council must have due regard to the need to: eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct that is prohibited by the Act; advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a protected characteristic and those who do not; and foster good relations between persons who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. The Act is not overly prescriptive about the approach a public authority should take to ensure due regard, although it does set out that due regard to advancing equality involves: removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics; taking steps to meet the needs of people from protected groups where these differ from the need of other people; and encouraging people from protected groups to participate in public life or in other activities where their participation is disproportionately low.

An Equality Impact Assessment for delivery of the Development Management service has been completed and can be viewed on the Council's website.

# Children and Families (Wales) Measure

Not applicable. This report is to inform Planning Committee of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate and/or Welsh Ministers.

#### Consultation

Not applicable. This report is to inform Planning Committee of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate and/or Welsh Ministers.

### **Background Papers**

Not applicable

Dated: 6th November 2019

Planning Application Appeal		
Reference	19/0303	
Address	13 Beaufort Road, Newport, NP19 7NP	
Development	Retention of front and side boundary wall	
Appellant	Mrs Carly Munro	
Officer Recommendation	Refuse	
Committee Decision	Refused	
Appeal Decision	Allowed	

Planning Application Appeal	
Reference	19/0486
Address	372 Chepstow Road, Newport, NP19 8JH
Development	Removal of condition 4 (restriction of opening hours) of planning permission 17/0606 for use of property for retail (A1) or a gym (D2)
Appellant	Mr Jag Gill
Officer Recommendation	Approve
Committee Decision	Refused
Appeal Decision	Dismissed

Planning Application Appeal		
Reference	19/0544	
Address	Harlech Retail Park, Cardiff Road, Newport, NP20 2BA	
Development	Erection of digital advertising display	
Appellant	Insite Poster Properties Ltd	
Delegated Decision	Refuse	
Committee Decision	N/A	
Appeal Decision	Dismissed	

Planning Application Appeal		
Reference	18/0980	
Address	27 Penylan Close, Newport, NP10 8NW	
Development	Demolition of existing garage and construction of	
	2no. dwellings and associated parking	
Appellant	P & K Treasure and Leader	
Officer Recommendation	Approve	
Committee Decision	Refused	
Appeal Decision	Dismissed	

Planning Application Appeal		
Reference	18/1117	
Address	Baneswell Community Centre, Beaufort Terrace, West Street, Newport NP20 4DH	
Development	Conversion of community centre and nursery to create 19no. apartments, including the creation of new openings and a new built house in multiple occupation with a lean-to bin store.	
Appellant	Baneswell Ltd	
Officer Recommendation	Approve	
Committee Decision	Refuse	
Appeal Decision	Allowed with costs awarded	

Enforcement Appeal		
Reference	E17/0430	
Address	Bramlea, Broad Street Common, Nash, Newport NP19 2AZ	
Development	Material change if use of the land to a domestic use, including the erection of domestic paraphernalia, creation of a tarmac track and an area of hardstanding	
Requirements of Notice	<ol> <li>Cease the use of the land for domestic purposes;</li> <li>Remove all domestic paraphernalia including the patio, outbuildings, swimming pool and associated roofing structure, basketball court and associated netting and the vehicular parking area from the land;</li> <li>Remove the hardstanding and the track from the Lan in its entirety; and</li> <li>Remove all resultant debris from the land.</li> </ol>	
Appellant	Mrs Mandy Griffiths	
Delegated Decision	Issue Enforcement Notice	
Committee Decision	N/A	
Appeal Decision	Dismissed	

Enforcement Appeal	
Reference	E19/0289
Address	Land at 4 Greenmeadow Avenue, Newport, NP19 4LR
Development	Unsightly condition of land
Requirements of Notice	<ol> <li>Remove all vehicles and trailers from the land;</li> <li>Remove all materials and equipment from the land in their entirety; and</li> <li>Remove the heras fencing and tarpaulin from the land in their entirety.</li> </ol>
Appellant	Mr Roger Amos
Delegated Decision	Issue Enforcement Notice
Committee Decision	N/A
Appeal Decision	Dismissed